From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Houben, C.H.W.F. EXTER POLAK & CHARLOUIS B.V. NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF P.O. Box 3241 THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY NL-2280 GE Rijswijk **EXAMINATION REPORT** PAYS-BAS **26** (PCT Rule 71.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14.09.2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION P26776PC00/CHO International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 20.06.2002 20.06.2003 PCTNL 03/00453 Applicant DREMEFA B.V. et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Häyrinen, N

Tel. +49 89 2399-5970





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P26776PC00/CHO International application No. PCT/NL 03/00453				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
				International filing date (day)	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.06.2002	
	national N2/28		nt Classification (IPC) or	both national classification and I	PC		
Appli DRE		A B.\	/. et al.				
1.	This Autho	intern ority a	ational preliminary ex and is transmitted to the	amination report has been pr ne applicant according to Artic	epared by this In cle 36.	ternational Preliminary Examining	
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
	11198	, cui ii					
3.				relating to the following items	s;		
3.			t contains indications	relating to the following items	3 ;		
3.	This	repor		relating to the following items	5 ;	•	
3.	This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority	relating to the following items	,	p and industrial applicability	
3.	This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority	relating to the following items	,	p and industrial applicability	
3.	This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	relating to the following items of opinion with regard to nove	elty, inventive ste		
3.	This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	relating to the following items of opinion with regard to nove ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a	elty, inventive ste		
3.	This	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain documents	relating to the following items of opinion with regard to nove ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a	elty, inventive ste		
3.	This II III V V	repor	rt contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain defects in the	relating to the following items of opinion with regard to nove ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with a nations supporting such states cited	elty, inventive ste regard to novelty ment	p and industrial applicability , inventive step or industrial applicability	
	This II III IV V VI VIII	repor	rt contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain defects in the	relating to the following items of opinion with regard to nove ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with enations supporting such states cited he international application as on the international applica	elty, inventive ste regard to novelty ment	, inventive step or industrial applicability	
Dati	This II III IV V VI VIII	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inverses and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to nove ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with mations supporting such states cited the international application as on the international applica	elty, inventive ste regard to novelty ment tion	, inventive step or industrial applicability	
Date 28.	This IIIIIV V VI VIIIVIIII	repor	t contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inverses and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to nove ention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such states cited the international application as on the international applica	elty, inventive steregard to novelty ment tion	, inventive step or industrial applicability	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NL 03/00453

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

		Des	cription, Pages				
,		1-5		as originally filed			
		Clai	ms, Numbers				
		2-9		received on 16.07.2004 with letter of 16.07.2004			
		1		filed with telefax on 03.08.2004			
		Dra	wings, Sheets				
		1/4-	4/4	as originally filed			
	2.	With lang	n regard to the langua Juage in which the inte	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the emational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
			the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
•			the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
			the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
D)	3.	With inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary c	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
©o⊕.″			contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.			
			filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.			
			furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.			
			furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
			The statement that to in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.			
			The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
	4.	The	e amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:			
			the description,	pages:			
			the claims,	Nos.:			
			the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NL 03/00453

5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No. Claims

Claims 1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/NL 03/00453

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

A. Inventive step

1. Claim 1

1.1 Prior art

Document EP0751033 (D1), which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (cf. figures 1 and 2) a child seat from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that the backrest is not adjustable in height relative to the seat part, the headrest and the backrest are not linked by a gear rack construction, and the height of the headrest and of the backrest are not linked.

1.2 Problem

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as adapting the geometry of the seat to the morphology of a growing child. Indeed, as the child grows up, no only the height of its head increases, but also the shape of his back. There is thus a need for a further adaptation of the child seat.

1.3 Solution

It is knows from document DE 199 25 306 (D2) that there must be a correlation between the height adjustment of the backrest of a vehicle seat, and the height adjustment of its headrest in order to offer an ergonomically improved vehicle seat. The solution proposed in D2 (a gear rack construction between the headrest and the backrest) provokes a height adjustment of the headrest which is double of the of the backrest.



It is to be noted that the adjustment of the headrest disclosed in D1 is obtained by pulling it up or pushing it down. Although the mechanism disclosed in D2 in meant to be activated by a knob for ease of use, pulling the headrest up or pushing it down would achieve the same result, though with more efforts.

The skilled person would regard it as a normal option to include this feature in the vehicle child seat described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2. Claim 2

Similarity, the subject-matter of claim 2 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT (see above).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/NL 03/00453

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

3. Claim 3

It is commonly known to provide an adjustment of a vehicle seat with locking means for obvious safety reasons. Claim 3 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

4. Claim 4

It is also commonly known to provide an adjustment with a handgrip to make the adjustment easier. Claim 4 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

5. Claim 5

Claim 5 of the present application can similarity not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

6. Claim 6

It is commonly known to have the seat part of a (child) vehicle seat to be adjustable (mostly in height, inclination or length). Claim 6 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

7. Claim 7

The child seat disclosed in D1 includes a belt guide on the headrest. Claim 7 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

8. Claim 8

It is commonly known to include a belt-guide on the backrest of a vehicle child seat (see for instance US 6,079,780, fig.1). Claim 8 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

9. Claim 9

It is commonly known to include a belt-guide on the seat portion of a vehicle child seat (see for instance US 31,36,579 fig.1). Claim 9 of the present application can thus not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

C. Description of the prior art

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/NL 03/00453 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- 10. A document reflecting the prior art described on page 1, is not identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT). The applicant is thus required to include document D1 in the description.
- 11. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein. The applicant is thus required to include D2-and its contents in the description.